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Abstract—This work explores the potential of shear strain elastograms to identify vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques. The Lagrangian speckle model estimator (LSME) elasticity imaging method was further developed to estimate shear strain elasticity (SSE). Three polyvinyl alcohol cryogel vessel phantoms were imaged with an intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) scanner. The estimated SSE maps were validated against finite-element results. Atherosclerosis was induced in carotid arteries of eight Sinclair mini-pigs using a combination of surgical techniques, diabetes and a high-fat diet. IVUS images were acquired in vivo in 14 plaques before euthanasia and histology. All plaques were characterized by high magnitudes in SSE maps that correlated with American Heart Association atherosclerosis stage classifications (r = 0.97, p < 0.001): the worse the plaque condition the higher the absolute value of SSE, i.e. |SSE| (e.g., mean |SSE| was 3.70 ± 0.40% in Type V plaques, whereas it was reduced to 0.11 ± 0.01% in normal walls). This study indicates the feasibility of using SSE to highlight atherosclerotic plaque vulnerability characteristics. (E-mail: guy.cloutier@umontreal.ca) © 2014 World Federation for Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology.
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INTRODUCTION

The rupture of a vulnerable atherosclerotic plaque in coronary arteries is recognized as a major cause of acute coronary syndrome (Ambrose et al. 1998; Fuster et al. 2005). Histologic studies have found that vulnerable plaques (i.e., those liable to rupture with thromboembolic complications) are typically characterized by a large extracellular necrotic core, a high inflammatory cell burden and a thin fibrous cap infiltrated by macrophages (Vengrenyuk et al. 2006; Virmani et al. 2006). The histopathology of symptomatic carotid plaques was observed to be equivalent to that of culprit coronary plaques (Redgrave et al. 2006).

Morphologic indicators of vulnerable plaques can be imaged by intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) (Carlier and Tanaka 2006; Rioufol et al. 2002), optical coherence tomography (Jang et al. 2002; Tearney et al. 2008), computed tomography (Fayad et al. 2002) and magnetic resonance imaging (Briley-Saebo et al. 2007). However, because morphologic features are insufficient predictors of risk (Loree et al. 1992; Ohayon et al. 2008), prospective prediction of plaque rupture is still imprecise.

The identification of plaque mechanical and compositional properties is a promising alternative to morphologic...
predictors (Finet et al. 2004). In this context, several IVUS-based technologies were developed for the evaluation of vessel lesion characteristics and for therapy planning, namely, endovascular elastography (EVE) (de Korte et al. 2000, 2002), palpography (Céspedes et al. 2000; Schaar et al. 2006) and virtual histology (VH) (Nair et al. 2002, 2007), the latter two methods receiving significant attention. Initial clinical reports on palpography and VH revealed promising study endpoint achievements (Van Mieghem et al. 2006; Serruys et al. 2008). However, these technologies later became controversial with reported high variability (Brugaletta et al. 2012). Rare technologies aimed at identifying plaque components accord- ing to their elasticity modulus (i.e., IVUS modulography) (de Korte et al. 2002), palpography (Céspedes et al. 2000; Schaar et al. 2006) and virtual histology (VH) (Nair et al. 2002, 2007), the latter two methods receiving significant attention. Initial clinical reports on palpography and VH revealed promising study endpoint achievements (Van Mieghem et al. 2006; Serruys et al. 2008). However, these technologies later became controversial with reported high variability (Brugaletta et al. 2012). Rare technologies aimed at identifying plaque components according to their elasticity modulus (i.e., IVUS modulography) are being developed (Baldewsing et al. 2008; Le Floc’h et al. 2009; Richards and Doyley 2011). The latter technologies still need to be clinically validated. Alternatively for superficial arteries, acoustic radiation force impulse imaging has been proposed, but it also remains to be clinically validated (Allen et al. 2011).

Differences in the stiffness of plaque components may change structural shear stresses (Vito et al. 1990) and, thus, shear strains. Shear failure may also arise at the interface of tissue components with different stiffness (Dickson and Gotlieb 2003; Falk et al. 1995). There is thus increasing evidence supporting the hypothesis that elevated shear strain initiates and/or stimulates the development of a plaque into a plaque liable to rupture (Cinthio et al. 2006; Idzenga et al. 2009, 2012). The shear strain induced in the adventitial layer by the axial movement of the artery may promote the neovascularization of the vasa vasaorum, which, in turn, may accelerate plaque progression through intraplaque inflammation and bleeding (Idzenga et al. 2009). Similarly, Lawrence-Brown et al. (2011) hypothesized that shear stresses cause repeated intramural microhemorrhages followed by a healing process leading to plaque development and progression. Identifying shear strain within the arterial wall not only has the potential to improve our knowledge of the properties of the arterial wall in vascular diseases, but also can improve our ability to detect early abnormalities in arterial wall function.

In the context of EVE imaging over a cross section of an artery, early advances relied on intraplaque radial (de Korte et al. 1999; Wan et al. 2001) and circumferential (Liang et al. 2008; Maurice et al. 2008) strain estimates. For a cross-sectional IVUS image, the radial strain corresponds to the deformation along the ultrasound (US) beam, whereas the circumferential strain is orthogonal to it. Shear strain elasticity (SSE) was first reported in vivo in three patients with coronary atherosclerotic plaques (Maurice et al. 2007), but according to the new implementation proposed in this study, those results could include artifacts. Indeed, in IVUS imaging, if the transducer is not centered in the artery lumen, US beams are not in the direction of the applied pressure. Therefore, calculated strains along the US beam are not purely radial strains. Few studies have quantified the influence of catheter position on IVUS elastograms (Baldewsing et al. 2004; de Korte et al. 1999; Perrey et al. 2001). De Korte et al. (1999) suggested theoretical functions to correct radial strains for catheter eccentricity or tilt. Their derivation can be applied to non-circular vessel walls as well. Shi et al. (2003, 2005) later derived a more general form of correction for radial strains that included both eccentricity and tilt effects at the same time, but the formulation applies only to cylindrical vessels. To deal with tilt, both of these works relied on prior knowledge of the tilt angle.

The objectives of this study, therefore, were: (i) to further develop EVE based on the Lagrangian speckle model estimator (LSME, which allows estimation of the full 2-D strain tensor) (Maurice et al. 2004) to correctly estimate SSE, and (ii) to present a compensation scheme based on polar coordinate transformations to correct the 2-D strain tensor (i.e., radial strain, circumferential strain and shear strain) for catheter eccentricity. This scheme handles the general geometric shape (not necessarily circular) of the vessels. Because of practicality concerns for 2-D IVUS, this work does not deal with estimating the tilt angle and correcting the strains in that regard.

**METHODS**

**Experimental in vitro study with polyvinyl alcohol cryogel vessel phantoms**

Polyvinyl alcohol cryogel (PVA-C) tissue-mimicking material was used to build vascular phantoms. The protocol followed the methodology described elsewhere (Le Floc’h et al. 2010; Maurice et al. 2005). The stiffness of PVA-C increases with the number of freeze-thaw cycles. A temperature-controlled chamber was used to induce solidification and polymerization of PVA-C samples made with a solution of 10% polyvinyl alcohol in pure water. Samples were obtained by one to six 24-h freezing-thawing cycles, with temperatures and...
rates of change of $\pm 20^\circ C$ and $\pm 0.2^\circ C$/min, respectively. Three cylindrical vessel phantoms were made (Fig. 1): one homogeneous, one with a soft inclusion and another with two soft inclusions. The homogeneous vessel phantom underwent one freeze-thaw cycle. The two-composite vessel phantoms experienced six freeze-thaw cycles. Before the last cycle, inclusions mimicking soft necrotic cores were filled with PVA-C undergoing only one freeze-thaw cycle. Simultaneously, similar PVA-C homogeneous cylindrical samples were prepared for mechanical testing using an Eplexor rheometer (Gabo, Ahlden, Germany, load cell of 25 N, sensor sensitivity of $10^{-4}$ at full range). Young’s moduli of the stiff (six freeze-thaw cycles) and soft (one freeze-thaw cycle) PVA-C samples were 145.4 $\pm$ 31.8 and 17.6 $\pm$ 3.4 kPa, respectively.

A circuit was used to apply quasi-static pressures within arterial phantoms with a water column, as illustrated in Figure 1. During experiments, the water temperature was maintained at 25 $\pm$ 1 $^\circ C$. An IVUS scanner (In-Vision Gold, Volcano Therapeutics, Rancho Cordova, CA, USA) equipped with a solid-state 20-MHz catheter (Avanar F/X, Volcano Therapeutics) was used to acquire radiofrequency (RF) signals digitized at 100 MHz with an external data acquisition system (Remora model, Volcano Therapeutics). Cross-sectional RF images were acquired from each phantom at 10 successive pressure steps of 0.5 kPa (i.e., 50 mm of water height) covering the pressure range 0 to 5 kPa.

Finite-element simulations

Pressurization of vessel phantoms was simulated by static finite-element analysis using COMSOL Multiphysics software (Structural Mechanics Module, Version 3.5, COMSOL, Grenoble, France). Plaque geometries were meshed with approximately 1500 six-node triangular elements. Finite-element models were solved under the assumption of plane strains. For each vessel phantom, 10 successive pressure steps were imposed, corresponding to pressure increases from 0 to 5 kPa. A free boundary condition was assumed on the external contour of the arteries, and pressure was applied on the lumen boundary. The stiff (mimicking fibrosis) and soft (mimicking lipid) PVA-C phantom components were modeled as isotropic and quasi-incompressible (Poisson’s ratio = 0.49), with Young’s moduli $E_{\text{fibrosis}} = 145$ kPa and $E_{\text{lipid}} = 17$ kPa, as experimentally determined (Le Floc’h et al. 2010).

Animal experiments

A complete description of animal preparation and measurements can be found in Soulez et al. (2012); only a brief summary is provided here. Protocols for animal experiments were approved by the animal care committee of the Centre Hospitalier de l’Université de Montréal. Eight Sinclair male mini-pigs (Sinclair Research Center, Auxvasse, MO, USA) with a mean age of 211 $\pm$ 36 d and mean weight of 35 $\pm$ 8 kg at the beginning of the protocol were included in this study. Common carotid arteries were partially ligated with a 1.3-mm spacer on the external surface of the vessel, 4 cm below the carotid bifurcation (Ishii et al. 2006). Removing the spacer before closing the incision resulted in 70%–80% stenosis. The carotid on each side of the neck was randomly ligated with a permanent or an absorbable suture, the latter promoting inflammation. One week after surgery, a 4-F Glidecath catheter (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) was inserted through a femoral approach to induce diabetes by selective injection of
streptozotocin into splenic and gastroduodenal arteries (120 mg/kg). One week after diabetes induction, a high-fat, high-cholesterol diet (TD.96366 Swine High Fat Diet, Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI, USA) was started to induce hypercholesterolemia, and lasted 20 wk, at which point animals were euthanized.

Angiography of both carotid arteries was performed through a femoral approach with a 4-F Glidecath catheter (Terumo) before euthanasia, to grade the severity of stenoses in diameter reduction. Mild to severe carotid stenoses were observed (stenosis severity = 80.4 ± 12.4% with permanent sutures and 48.8 ± 39.0% with absorbable sutures). Permanent sutures resulted in more advanced atherosclerotic lesions (Soulez et al. 2012). Under fluoroscopy guidance, IVUS pullback scans were done on both carotid arteries using an automatic positioning system set at 0.5 mm/s. IVUS B-mode images were acquired with a 3.5-F 20-MHz probe (Avanar F/X, Volcano Therapeutics) at a frame rate of 30/s. Then, atherosclerotic plaques of interest were imaged again with static positioning of the IVUS catheter along the artery to acquire RF data of the pulsating vessel. The exact position of the IVUS catheter tip was identified under fluoroscopy and the distance from the carotid bifurcation was noted. As reported earlier (Soulez et al. 2012), we observed atherosclerotic lesions upstream and downstream of the ligation site (see Fig. 2 for a schematic of the image acquisition protocol).

Histologic analyses

To prepare carotid arteries for sectioning, they were perfused with saline for 5 min followed by 10% buffered formalin at 150 mm Hg for 1 h. For every 5 mm of specimens, sections 6 μm thick were made. Additional serial sections were collected when a plaque was detected. Three stains were employed: (i) Hematoxylin phloxine saffron stain was used to differentiate collagen (yellow), nuclei (blue) and muscle or cytoplasm (pink). (ii) Movat stain was chosen to differentiate elastic fibers (black), collagen (yellow-green), nuclei (dark blue), cytoplasm (pink-brown) and calcium (brown). (iii) If Movat staining suggested the presence of calcium, a more quantitative von Kossa stain was employed to highlight calcium nodules in black. Picrosirius red stain was also used for collagen and lipid analyses. A pathologist blinded to

![Fig. 2. Schematic of the image acquisition protocol. (a) Different sections with respect to the position of the partial ligation of common carotid arteries. (b) B-mode intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) images reconstructed from radiofrequency (RF) data. (c) Histology sections.](image-url)
the location or type of suture classified plaques according to the American Heart Association (AHA) atherosclerosis stage classification (Table 1), which summarizes the natural history of atherosclerosis (Soulez et al. 2012; Stary 2000). An in-house semi-automatic segmentation method developed in MATLAB (Version 6.5. The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) and adapted to ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health open source, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used for histomorphometric analyses.

**Registration between radiofrequency data and histology**

As mentioned above, IVUS scanning of the left and right carotid vessels was performed under angiography guidance to identify sites with stenoses. IVUS scanning was started at the bifurcation junction and then repeated eight times at spatial steps of 1 cm below the junction along the vessel. The specific scan in which the plaque appeared was used for further analysis. The histology slice used for validation was the slice at the same location of the scan. Because the initial (on removal from the body) and final (before slicing) lengths of the vessel were known, tissue shrinkage was calculated to locate the correct position of the slice. Registration between RF data and histology was done using the location of the plaque identified by a radiologist before performing elastographic calculations.

**Plaque strain reconstruction**

**Image segmentation.** IVUS reconstructed B-mode images (from acquired RF data with Hilbert transformation and logarithm compression) were segmented to detect the lumen boundary using a fast-marching model combining region and contour information (Roy Cardinal et al. 2006). The outer contour was computed by shifting the detected lumen boundary outwardly by a distance of 1 mm radially. Resulting contours were validated by a radiologist (G.S.) before further processing. Remaining analyses were done on a region of interest (ROI) that included the artery wall, as defined by the area between the lumen boundary and the outer contour. The ROI included some portion or the entire plaque area, as well as normal regions of the vessel wall.

**LSME elastography algorithm.** The LSME method was described in detail elsewhere (Maurice et al. 2004). We provide a succinct description herein that will help in understanding the new implementation described in Appendix A.

In the context of EVE, we aimed to find components of the strain tensor within the artery wall. Consequently, displacements at any locations, as well as their spatial derivatives, are required. For this purpose, the LSME was adapted to process RF IVUS data in the polar coordinate system.

Radiofrequency images were first registered to compensate for rigid motion caused by catheter movement artifacts. For this purpose, overlapping measurement windows (MWs) within ROIs of two consecutive temporal images were analyzed. For each MW, 2-D correlation coefficients between images were calculated, and the motion of the catheter was determined as the shifts of the maximum correlation point.

The second temporal image was then compensated for this translation artifact. At each point within a MW, the optical flow equation in polar coordinates was expanded around the center of the MW ($M_0$), using Taylor series, making an over-determined system of equations in terms of the optical flow components and their partial spatial derivatives. The least-squares solution of this system of equations was obtained. The 2-D displacement gradient matrix ($\Delta$) in polar coordinate can be defined as

$$\Delta = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{rr} \\ \Delta_{r\theta} \\ \Delta_{\theta\theta} \end{array} \right] = \frac{\partial U_r}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U_r}{\partial \theta} + U_r \right]$$

Components of the strain tensor in polar coordinates $\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{ij} + \Delta_{ji})$ can be calculated as

$$\varepsilon = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{rr} \\ \varepsilon_{r\theta} \\ \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{rr} \\ \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{rr} + \Delta_{jj}) \\ \Delta_{\theta\theta} \end{array} \right]$$

Table 1. American Heart Association classification of atherosclerotic lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Initial lesion with foam cells (intimal xanthoma or fatty streak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Fatty streak with multiple foam cell layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Pre-atheroma with extracellular lipid pools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Atheroma with a confluent extracellular lipid core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Fibro-atheroma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Complex plaque with possible surface defect or hemorrhage or thrombus or some combination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Calcified plaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>Fibrotic plaque without lipid core</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
radial and circumferential overlaps. Each SSE map was calculated based on a pair of consecutive RF data (phantom: 512 lines and 1120 sample points; mini-pig: 512 lines and 800 sample points). For phantom experiments, the reported SSE maps were arbitrarily chosen at a given pressure from 0 to 5 kPa, whereas for the pig study, SSE maps were obtained during diastole. SSE maps were smoothed using a $5 \times 5$ median filter padded with symmetric expansion at the boundaries. For display purposes, in vivo SSE maps were zoomed in so they had different dimension scales compared with their respecting IVUS images (see the caption of Fig. 5).

Compensation for the eccentricity of the catheter. One issue limiting the performance of IVUS elastography is the eccentricity of the catheter within the vessel lumen, caused by pulsatile flow and cardiac motion, potentially leading to erroneous strain estimates from geometric artifacts. Compensating for the eccentric catheter position (Baldewsing et al. 2004; de Korte et al. 1999; Perrey et al. 2001; Shi et al. 2003, 2005) is a challenging task in IVUS elastography. In this study, a method was developed to estimate the eccentricity and to correct the strains in the polar coordinate system. Unlike previously suggested methods, our approach does not assume sole radial motion as in Shapo et al. (1996) and corrects the complete strain tensor without any restricting assumptions on the vessel morphology. Details and complete equations of the method are reported in Appendix B.

Statistical analyses

Results were expressed as means $\pm$ standard deviations (SD). Statistical analyses were performed using SigmaStat software (Version 3.1, Systat Software, San Jose, CA, USA). Analyses of variance and Pearson correlations were performed to detect any significant relation between SSE and AHA classifications of atherosclerotic lesions. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare SSE results for plaques with those for normal vessel walls.

RESULTS

Shear strains are amplified in the mimicked thin-cap fibroatheroma

Figure 3 illustrates the performance of the new LSME implementation to estimate SSE and its reliability by comparing results with finite-element simulations. All three investigated cases are included in this figure: a
mimicked normal vessel wall and plaques with one or two soft inclusions. In all cases, results exhibit very good qualitative agreements between reference shear strains computed using the finite-element method and estimated shear strain elastograms. Quantitatively, there was also very good agreement between simulated and experimental SSE values along circular lines (red circles in Fig. 3), with root-mean-square errors of 0.87% for the normal vessel, 1.35% for the single-soft-inclusion phantom and 1.90% for the double-soft-inclusion mimicked artery (Fig. 4).

The homogeneous phantom did not display any regions of elevated SSE (shear strain values are close to zero, see Fig. 3, first row). The regions of high shear strain in one-inclusion (Fig. 3, second row) and two-inclusion (Fig. 3, third row) phantoms are located at the mimicked thin fibrous cap positions. As an example, in the two-inclusion phantom (#3), the SSE magnitude in the right half (≈ +0.01 to −0.01 for positive and negative shear strain values, respectively) is lower than that (≈ 0.06 to −0.04 for positive and negative shear strain values, respectively) in the left half. These lower SSE values for the right inclusion might be due to the difference in cap thicknesses.

**Higher shear strains coincide with plaque locations in vivo**

Fourteen carotid plaques were harvested from the eight atherosclerotic pigs (Table 2). The estimated SSE maps calculated from in vivo RF data with the new LSME development were compared with histologic observations (Fig. 5). Figure 5 reveals overall good agreement between regions of high SSE (middle column) and histologic plaque locations (right column). This figure reveals the estimated SSE in a few typical examples in which intensified SSE magnitudes in plaque areas can be observed. Normal parts of vascular walls (parts of the vessel wall without any pathologic lesion) typically have low SSE values.

**Magnitude of SSE increases with AHA atherosclerotic plaque class**

Strong correlations between AHA classes of atherosclerotic lesions and mean (or max) absolute value of SSE, i.e. $|SSE|$, computed over the entire vessel wall cross section were found: $r = 0.97$, $p < 0.001$ for mean $|SSE|$, and $r = 0.93$, $p < 0.001$ for max $|SSE|$ (Fig. 6). Table 3 illustrates the correspondence between AHA class and SSE value for data collected in the present study. This table indicates that the worse the plaque condition (in terms of histopathology analysis), the higher are the mean and maximum $|SSE|$ values were significantly decreased, with mean and maximum values reduced to 0.11 ± 0.01% and 0.19 ± 0.01%, respectively. The third column in Table 3, which gives mean $|SSE|$ values normalized by the pressure gradient measured with a catheter within the femoral artery of...
Fig. 5. Left column: In vivo intravascular ultrasound images. Middle column: Estimated shear strain elasticity (SSE) maps. Right column: Histologic stained samples of excised lesions for which SSE was obtained. SSE maps (middle) were calculated with the RF data used to produce the B-mode image of the first column. For better visualization, the SSE map was zoomed with respect to its B-mode image (by 145%). Note that higher shear strains coincide with plaque location. American Heart Association class: (a) Type I, (b) Type II, (c) Type III, (d) Type IV, (e) Type V.
Type I (n = 2)  
**Mean**: Min = 0.81; Max: 0.96  
*N* = 7  
*p* < 0.001, *p* < 0.01  
**Max**: Min = 1.84, Max = 2.26  
*N* = 7  
*p* < 0.001, *p* < 0.01  

Type II (n = 2)  
**Mean**: Min = 1.38, Max = 1.57  
*N* = 7  
*p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01  
**Max**: Min = 2.77, Max = 3.01  
*N* = 8  
*p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.05  

Type III (n = 2)  
**Mean**: Min = 1.87, Max = 2.22  
*N* = 7  
*p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01  
**Max**: Min = 3.41, Max = 3.75  
*N* = 7  
*p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01  

Type IV (n = 4)  
**Mean**: Min = 2.17, Max = 2.5  
*N* = 4  
*p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01  
**Max**: Min = 4.4, Max = 5.1  
*N* = 4  
*p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.05  

Type V (n = 4)  
**Mean**: Min = 3.3, Max = 4.34  
*N* = 5  
*p* < 0.001, *p* < 0.001  
**Max**: Min = 6.9, Max = 7.9  
*N* = 5  
*p* < 0.001, *p* < 0.001  

Normal wall (n = 14)  
**Mean**: Min = 0.1, Max = 0.13  
*N* = 8  
**Max**: Min = 0.16, Max = 0.21  
*N* = 8  

### DISCUSSION

From a biomechanical point of view, elevated shear strain is increasingly being considered an important factor for initiating and/or stimulating the development of a plaque into a plaque likely to rupture by cap weakening leading to ulceration (Cinthio et al. 2006; Idzenga et al. 2009; 2012). Accurate estimation of the shear strain is also imperative for in vivo quantification of both the morphology and mechanical properties of a diseased artery at any given instant of the remodeling process.

SSE = shear strain elasticity; AHA = American Heart Association; N = required minimum population of plaques with 95% confidence.

* Mean [SSE] computed over vessel wall cross section.

† Max [SSE] computed over vessel wall cross section.

‡ Compared with normal wall.

§ Compared with all other types of atherosclerosis class.
The morphology and mechanical properties are crucial for prediction of plaque rupture (Cheng et al. 1993; Finet et al. 2004) and may also guide the development of specific therapies for prevention of acute events.

The most important findings of the present study are as follows:

1. Stary (2000) recommended the AHA atherosclerosis stage classification to convey results of an inquiry into compositions of atherosclerotic lesions as they silently develop. Results of the present study indicated a link between estimated SSE and AHA atherosclerosis class. We observed that the absolute value of SSE was statistically higher in plaques in higher AHA classes and, therefore, with higher vulnerability. This correspondence needs to be further investigated with larger samples and preferably in humans.

2. Results also revealed that areas with elevated \( |SSE| \) values may be used to detect plaque locations. In addition, normal parts of the vascular wall had much lower SSE values. Therefore, the SSE-enabled LSME technique may have the potential to localize and identify plaque features in vivo.

3. Our results suggest that SSE may allow evaluation of the thin-cap fibroatheroma stress amplitude, which appears to be a good biomechanical predictor of plaque rupture (Ohayon et al. 2008).

**Potential clinical implications**

The data presented in this study were based on a rather small population with data acquired in a pig model. However, the aforementioned results indicate the potential for integration of SSE into clinical practice for early evaluation of atherosclerotic plaques before they become vulnerable. More specifically, the following issues can be considered:

1. The in vivo quantification of mechanical properties of vulnerable plaque components at any given instant of the remodeling process remains a major issue. It could lead to the development of specific therapies for prevention of acute coronary events (Cheng et al. 1993; Finet et al. 2004; Libby 2001). Supplementing conventional IVUS elastograms with SSE maps may help in improving the accuracy of in vivo quantification of plaque mechanical properties. However, this needs to be assessed in humans and to be clinically validated afterward.

2. Our in vivo data suggest that high shear strains are linked to AHA atherosclerosis class and, therefore, may become a potential quantity for predicting future events. Therefore, supplementing the current clinical procedure with SSE can be useful to identify patients who are at a high risk and in need of closer follow-up and further investigation, as well as to improve risk stratification and clinical decision making.

3. It is recognized that a very small structural change in a vulnerable plaque can change its stability (Le’Floch et al. 2010; Libby et al. 2002). Clinical use of the proposed LSME imaging method to determine SSE may thus allow monitoring of the state of atherosclerotic plaque evolution and its response to therapies. This may help in decisions on timely interventions to prevent myocardial infarctions and strokes.

4. Finally, once clinically validated, the proposed method may allow the comparison of different patients with different atherosclerotic plaque properties or the same patient between different follow-ups. In this regard, SSE may be a useful quantity in monitoring the level of plaque vulnerability. Therefore, the proposed method may be helpful in confirming whether an intervention has been useful in stabilizing a vulnerable plaque.

**Limitations**

This study was performed on a small population because of the high cost associated with each pig experiment. Nevertheless, this study did reveal the advantages of SSE and how it is connected to plaque vulnerability. Other studies with less expensive animal models on larger populations and, preferably, an extension to human plaques are required to confirm our results. Furthermore, because inflammation is a major determinant in the detection of vulnerable plaques (Naghavi et al., 2003), future studies should also be dedicated to assessing the correlation between SSE maps and inflammation status. Finally, it should be noted that the thick vessel walls in some histology images may have been caused by incomplete fixation of the elastic recoil.
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APPENDIX A: ELASTOGRAPHY ALGORITHM

Because our aim was to find components of the strain tensor within the artery wall, displacements at any location as well as their spatial derivatives were required. For this purpose, the LSME developed in the context of EVE was adapted to process IVUS-derived RF signals in the polar coordinate system.

First-order optical flow constraint equation

The LSME algorithm is based on the optical flow constraint equation (OFCE), which assumes that the signal intensity corresponding to each material point remains unchanged over time. This assumption is generally true whenever the signal acquisition conditions are not changed from one frame to the next and tissue displacements are small. The OFCE can be written using the material derivative of the intensity signal I as

$$\frac{DI}{Dt} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

where r refers to time. For a specific spatial point P_r, eqn (A1) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{dI}{dr} \mathbf{v}(P_r, t) \cdot \nabla I(P_r, t) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

where the nabla operator \( \nabla \) stands for the gradient. This equation results in an under-determined system of equations. To overcome this problem, the first-order Taylor series expansion about a given point \( M_0 \) is used. The speckle velocity \( \mathbf{v} \) at point \( P_r \) can then be expanded as
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\[ \mathbf{v}(P_i, t) = \mathbf{v}_0, \quad \mathbf{v}(M_0, t) + \nabla \mathbf{v}\rvert_{(M_0)} \mathbf{M}_0 \mathbf{P}_i, \quad (A3) \]

Inserting expression (A3) into (A2) leads to the first-order OFCE for any point \( P \), surrounding \( M_0 \):

\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t}(P_i, t) + \left\{ \mathbf{v}(M_0, t) + \nabla \mathbf{v}\rvert_{(M_0)} \mathbf{M}_0 \mathbf{P}_i \right\} \nabla \mathbf{I}(P_i, t) = 0. \quad (A4) \]

**The OFCE in polar coordinates**

In clinical practice, RF signals generated by IVUS scanners are formatted in a polar coordinate system centered on the catheter center \( C \). Let \( \{ C, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}_0 \} \) denote the associated physical basis and \((r, \theta)\) the corresponding polar coordinates. Under these conditions, the expressions obtained are

\[ \mathbf{v}(M_0, t) = v_{n_0} \mathbf{r}_0'(M_0) + v_{g_0} \mathbf{r}''(M_0); \]

\[ \nabla \mathbf{v}\rvert_{(M_0)} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{0} \sin(\theta) \mathbf{v}_r \sin(\theta) \mathbf{v}_\theta \\ \mathbf{0} \cos(\theta) \mathbf{v}_r \cos(\theta) \mathbf{v}_\theta \end{array} \right]_{(M_0)}; \]

\[ \mathbf{M}_0 \mathbf{P}_i = (r_i \cos(\theta_i - \theta_0) - r_0 \mathbf{r}_0'(M_0) + r_i \sin(\theta_i - \theta_0) \mathbf{r}_0''(M_0); \]

\[ \nabla \mathbf{I}(P_i, t) = \frac{2}{3} \left( \mathbf{r}_0'(P_i, t) \mathbf{r}_0'(P_i) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{r}_0'(P_i, t) \mathbf{r}_0'(P_i) \right) \mathbf{r}_0''(M_0) \]

\[ = \left( \cos(\theta_i - \theta_0) \right) \left( \mathbf{r}_0'(P_i, t) \mathbf{r}_0'(P_i) \right) \mathbf{r}_0''(M_0) \]

\[ + \left( \sin(\theta_i - \theta_0) \right) \left( \mathbf{r}_0'(P_i, t) \mathbf{r}_0'(P_i) \right) \mathbf{r}_0''(M_0). \]

Substituting all components of eqn (A5) into eqn (A4) yields the first-order OFCE for any point \( P_i (r, \theta) \) surrounding \( M_0 \) \((r_0, \theta_0)\) in the polar system \( \{ C, \mathbf{r}_0'(M_0), \mathbf{r}_0''(M_0) \} \).

**The LSME in polar coordinates**

The first-order scalar equation that contains six unknowns at \( M_0 \) \((i.e., v_{n_0} \text{ and } v_{g_0})\) and their respective spatial derivatives \( dv_{n_0}/dr, dv_{n_0}/d\theta, dv_{g_0}/dr \text{ and } dv_{g_0}/d\theta \). The LSME now consists of solving such a first-order OFCE equation within a small ROI surrounding a given point \( M_0 \): the OFCE is written at each point \( P_i \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n, \text{ with } n > 6)\) of the ROI. This leads to an over-determined system, which is solved in the least-squares sense. Thus, for a given ROI centered at \( M_0 \), components of the strain tensor are expressed as

\[ \varepsilon_{rr} = \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r}; \quad \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{u_\theta}{r}; \quad \varepsilon_{r\theta} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta u_r + \Delta u_\theta); \]

\[ \Delta u_r = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r}; \quad \Delta u_\theta = \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta}, \]

where \( u_r = v_r \Delta r \) and \( u_\theta = v_\theta \Delta \theta \) are displacements, and \( \Delta \) is the elapsed time between two consecutive IVUS RF frames. Readers can refer to Maurice et al. (2004) on how to compute each component of the displacement gradient matrix based on an over-determined system. In the present study, we investigated only one first term (component of \( \Delta u_r \) in eqn (A6)) of the shear strain, referred to as the shear strain component or SSE map.

**APPENDIX B: COMPENSATION OF THE ECCENTRICITY OF THE CATHETER**

With IVUS imaging, the catheter position is often eccentric with respect to the vessel lumen, as schematically visualized in Figure A1. If the probe position is eccentric, the resulting B-mode or RF-mode image will still be geometrically correct, but subsequent strain estimates will be biased.

![Fig. A1. Schematic of intravascular ultrasound segmentation and compensation of catheter eccentricity. This scheme handles general geometric shapes; therefore, its use is not restricted to circular geometries.](image)
By considering the matrix \([Q]\) defined as
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{C}{\sqrt{2}} & S \\
-\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}} & C
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(A11)
or
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{C}{\sqrt{2}} & S \\
-\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}} & C
\end{bmatrix}
\]
where \(C\) and \(S\) are \(\cos(\theta-\phi)\) and \(\sin(\theta-\phi)\), respectively, provides the transformation between the two polar systems:
\[
[\nabla w]_{r,\theta} = [Q]^{-1} [\nabla w]_{r,\phi} [Q] 
\]  
(A12)

Also, the displacement gradient tensor in the physical basis \((O, \vec{e}_R, \vec{e}_\theta)\) according to the physical basis \((C, \vec{e}_r, \vec{e}_\phi)\) can be rewritten as
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{RR} & \Delta_{R\theta} \\
\Delta_{R\theta} & \Delta_{\theta\theta}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{C}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{S}{\sqrt{2}} \\
-\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{C}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{rr} & \Delta_{r\phi} \\
\Delta_{r\phi} & \Delta_{\phi\phi}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{C}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{C}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
\Delta_{RR} = \Delta_{rr} C^2 + \Delta_{r\phi} C S + \Delta_{\phi\phi} S^2
\]
\[
\Delta_{R\theta} = \Delta_{rr} S^2 - 2 \Delta_{r\phi} C S + \Delta_{\phi\phi} C^2
\]
\[
\Delta_{\theta\theta} = \Delta_{rr} S^2 + 2 \Delta_{r\phi} C S + \Delta_{\phi\phi} C^2
\]
\[
\epsilon_{RR} = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{RR} + \Delta_{\theta\theta}) = -\epsilon_{rr} C S + \epsilon_{r\phi} \left( \frac{C}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{S}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \epsilon_{\phi\phi} C S
\]  
(A13)

Note that the general formulations developed in Appendices A and B are based on a geometric transformation between Cartesian and polar coordinates; no assumption on the shape of the vessels is required (not necessarily circular).